



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,702	08/05/2003	Vincent Alan Larsen	SAGE-26,401	8390

758 7590 11/28/2006

FENWICK & WEST LLP
SILICON VALLEY CENTER
801 CALIFORNIA STREET
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041

EXAMINER

LY, CHEYNE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2168

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/635,702

Applicant(s)

LARSEN, VINCENT ALAN

Examiner

Cheyne D. Ly

Art Unit

2168

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 22,24-29,31-36 and 38-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 22,24,27-29,31,34-36,38,41 and 42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 25,26,32,33,39 and 40 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/06</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 09, 2006 has been entered.
2. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 22, 24, 27, 28, 29, 31, 34-36, 38, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Park et al. (February 2001) (Park hereafter).
5. In regard to claim 22, Park discloses a method of providing access to a resource of a computer (page 63, Figure 16), comprising:

- a. Receiving a request from a user to access the resource using a process having a process path (page 63, lines 19-23, Figures 16 and 18, especially, “clicking a link” (path));
 - b. Assessing data associated with the user stored in a memory in response to the received request (page 63, section 6.2), the data comprising a process resource access table associated with the user and having an ordered list of entries specifying process paths and access rights to resources available to processes having the paths (page 47, Figure 5, especially, column 3, “Path”);
 - c. Determining a level of access to the resource for the process by searching the list of entries in order to find a first entry matching the process path (page 45, lines 5-8, especially, only pages in the path can read the cookie”, page 46, section 4.3, to page 47, last line especially, “Authenticating cookies (i.e. IP-Cookie...) verify the owner of the cookies by comparing the authentication information...IP_Cookie holds the IP number of the user’s machine); and
 - d. Providing the process with access rights to the resource specified by the matching entry (page 45, lines 5-8, especially, only pages in the path can read the cookie”, page 46, section 4.3, to page 47, last line especially, “Authenticating cookies (i.e. IP-Cookie...) verify the owner of the cookies by comparing the authentication information...IP_Cookie holds the IP number of the user’s machine).
6. In regard to claim 24, Park discloses the process resource access table includes a resource path, and wherein the process has access rights to a resource designated by the resource path (page 47, Figure 5, especially, column 3, “Path”).

7. In regard to claim 27, Park discloses the resource of the computer comprises a data file (page 60, section 5.3.2, especially, "securing individual files...").
8. In regard to claim 28, the computer is utilized by a plurality of users (page 63, section 6.2), further comprising:
 - a. Determining an identity of the user (page 63, section 6.2); and
 - b. Identifying data stored in the memory specifying resources available to processes executed by the user having the determined identity (page 64, lines 1-8).
9. In regard to claims 29, 31, 34-36, 38, 41, and 42, Park discloses the system and computer program product (pages 63-65) for implementing the above-cited method.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENT

10. On pages 7-8, Applicant argues that Park et al. does not the new limitations recited claim 22. Park discloses the argued limitation as exemplified by the specification in Table 1 and the pointed support of paragraphs [0038] to [0041], [0051] and [0075].

CONCLUSION

11. Claims 25, 26, 32, 33, 39, and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
12. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your

Art Unit: 2168

application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

13. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199. The USPTO's official fax number is 571-272-8300.
14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.
15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo, can be reached on (571) 272-3642.

C. Dune Ly
Patent Examiner
11/24/06

